

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

RICKY OLIVER,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL KNIGHT,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

NO. 3:19-cv-00488
JUDGE RICHARDSON

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 9), to which no Objections have been filed.

The failure to object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at *2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019); *Hart v. Bee Property Mgmt.*, Case No. 18-cv-11851, 2019 WL 1242372, at *1 (E.D. Mich. March 18, 2019) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)). The district court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, Case No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at *3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id.*

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation. The Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for Plaintiff's failure to serve process and failure to prosecute. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) and 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.


ELI RICHARDSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE